

Overview of Evidence on Oral Vancomycin Prophylaxis for *C. difficile* Prevention

Version date: 21 Feb 2025

Background: Data for oral vancomycin are limited to a single randomized trial and several observational cohorts – all with significant limitations (see Table 1). Not all studies found evidence of benefit: in fact, the largest single observational study found no reduction in *C. difficile* incidence with prophylaxis.(1) Within the limitations of existing heterogenous and low-quality data, prior meta-analyses suggest a potential benefit for oral vancomycin prophylaxis.(2) Well-conducted trials are still needed.

Several studies also raise important unresolved issues:

-Highlighting the importance of adjustment for immortality time bias, one case series found that 31% of subjects developed *C. difficile* within the 8 weeks following discontinuation of prophylaxis. This raises the key question whether prophylaxis actually prevents rather than just delays disease onset.(3)

-On meta-analysis, vancomycin actually showed an *inverse* dose effect: higher total daily doses correlated with reduced benefit – suggesting lower dose regimens might be preferred.(2)

-Oral vancomycin may also select for vancomycin-resistance *Enterococcus faecalis*.(4)

For the interested reader, a recent *New England Journal Clinical Decisions* feature succinctly outlines pro- and con- viewpoints regarding oral vancomycin prophylaxis.(5)

Summary: Evidence for oral vancomycin prophylaxis remains limited – hinging mostly on observational data with significant risk of selection bias and failure to account for immortality time bias or potential for delayed infection.

-Clinicians may consider oral vancomycin prophylaxis in select situations, such as cases with a history of recurrent or severe *C. difficile* infection requiring high-risk systemic antibiotics.

-Vancomycin 125 mg PO once or twice daily is likely as effective or more effective than higher doses.

Table 1: Summary of Existing Studies on Oral Vancomycin Prophylaxis

Study	Design	Outcomes	Quality
Johnson et al CID 2020(6)	Randomized open-label trial Inclusion: high CDI risk (age >60, hospitalization in preceding 30 days, systemic antibiotic receipt) Intervention: vancomycin 125 mg	Hospital-onset <i>C. difficile</i> : 0/50 with prophylaxis vs 6/50 control (p=0.03) Healthcare facility associated <i>C. difficile</i> : 0/50 with prophylaxis vs 2/50 control (p=0.49)	MODERATE -Inclusion criteria based on perceived risk without consideration of <i>C. difficile</i> history -Very small event numbers -Missing telephone follow-up for >50% of subjects

	PO daily during antibiotics to 5 days post Follow-up: telephone at 4 weeks, chart review at 3 months N=50 in each arm	*Note that benefit was limited to hospital-onset period and was lost in longer HCFA period.	-Missing chart review follow-up for 12-16% of subjects -No statistical accounting for missingness or censoring -Failed to account for immortality time bias
Van Hise et al 2016(7)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: prior <i>C. difficile</i> & hospitalized with systemic antibiotic receipt Intervention: vancomycin 125-250 mg PO once or twice daily Follow-up: 4 weeks N=71 at 125 mg dosing, 42 at 250 mg dosing, 132 in control arm	<i>C. difficile</i> recurrence 4.2% with prophylaxis vs 26.6% with control (p<0.01)	LOW -High risk of selection bias (observational & retrospective) -Follow-up period is much shorter than usual & risks missing delayed cases which have been documented after PO vancomycin -Failure to account for immortality time bias -No description of completeness of follow-up
Carignan et al 2016(8)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: systemic antibiotic receipt after <i>C. difficile</i> episode within preceding 90 days Intervention: vancomycin 125 mg PO Q6h for 84% Follow-up: 90 days N=227 receiving prophylaxis, 324 without	aHR 0.47 with prophylaxis (p<0.01) On subgroup analysis, prophylaxis was not effective after initial <i>C. difficile</i> episodes – only after recurrences	LOW -High risk of bias (observational) -Empirical re-treatment (without testing) was counted as a <i>C. difficile</i> case, introducing bias in outcome ascertainment -Groups differed in number of prior CDI episodes and use of vancomycin taper -Failure to account for immortality time bias
Splinter et al 2018(9)	Retrospective matched cohort	0/12 with prophylaxis vs 2/24 control (p=0.54)	LOW

	Inclusion: renal transplant with history of <i>C. difficile</i> & receiving systemic antibiotics Intervention: vancomycin 125 mg PO Q12h Follow-up: 4 weeks N=12 with prophylaxis, 24 in control		-High risk of bias (observational & retrospective) -Very specific population -Extremely small numbers -Short follow-up -Failure to account for immortality time bias
Knight et al 2019(10)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: prior <i>C. difficile</i>, hospitalized on systemic antibiotics Intervention: vancomycin 125-250 mg PO Q6h Follow-up: up to 12 months N=32 with prophylaxis, 59 control	6.3% with prophylaxis vs 28.8% in control (p=0.01)	LOW -High risk of selection bias (observational and retrospective) -Limited description of follow-up, outcome ascertainment or missingness (appears to have been limited to electronic chart review at 1 center) - Failure to account for immortality time bias -Small numbers -No standard prophylaxis regimen
Zhang et al 2019(3)	Retrospective case series Inclusion: Recurrent <i>C. difficile</i> infection but not a candidate for FMT or failed FMT Intervention: 125 mg PO once daily (after initial 14 day treatment course)	Although no <i>C. difficile</i> occurred while on prophylaxis, 31% still developed <i>C. difficile</i> within 8 weeks of prophylaxis discontinuation	LOW -Case series with no control arm -Narrower inclusion criteria -Variable follow-up - Failure to account for immortality time bias

	Follow-up: up to 19 months N=20		
Caroff et al 2019(1)	Retrospective cohort (including propensity matching) Inclusion: adults with <i>C. difficile</i> in the past 30-150 days receiving systemic antibiotics Intervention: not specified (variable vancomycin regimens used) Follow-up: 3-6 months N=193 receiving prophylaxis, 567 controls	9.8% vs 9.4% (no benefit on naïve or adjusted analyses)	MODERATE -One of the better-adjusted studies, but still some risk of residual confounding & selection bias -Irregular inclusion criteria -No set intervention - Failure to account for immortality time bias
Zacharioudakis et al 2020(4)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: CDI history and systemic antibiotic receipt Intervention: vancomycin 125 mg PO Q12h Follow-up: 1 month N=264	Breakthrough <i>C. difficile</i> infection occurred in 6.4% receiving prophylaxis; recurrence occurred in 8.3% following prophylaxis *Did observe an increase in VRE rates among vancomycin recipients: 16.2% had VRE isolated following prophylaxis vs 6.2% prior	LOW -Observational and retrospective (risk of selection bias) -No control group used for <i>C. difficile</i> outcome -Short follow-up - Failure to account for immortality time bias
Morrisette et al 2019(11)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: HSCT/heme malignancy and prior <i>C. difficile</i>	OR 0.14 (95% CI 0.007-0.994) with prophylaxis (p=0.049)	LOW -Observational with risk of selection bias

	Intervention: vancomycin 125 mg PO Q12h up to 7 days post antibiotic Follow-up 8 weeks N=21 prophylaxis, 29 controls		-Mean age differed between prophylaxis and control groups -Short follow-up - Failure to account for immortality time bias -Limited and specific inclusion criteria (Heme malignancy population only) -Small numbers with high uncertainty -High study fragility index (e.g., just 1-2 additional cases might have altered results)
Najjar-Debbiny et al 2024(12)	Retrospective cohort Inclusion: adults receiving systemic antibiotics within 2-8 weeks of prior <i>C. difficile</i> Follow-up: 4-8 weeks N=107 prophylaxis, 327 control	HR 0.51 (95% CI 0.27-0.97) with prophylaxis	LOW -Observational and retrospective (risk of selection bias) -Short follow-up -Failure to account for immortality time bias -High study fragility index (note how confidence interval approaches 1)

References

1. Caroff DA, Menchaca JT, Zhang Z, Rhee C, Calderwood MS, Kubiak DW, et al. Oral vancomycin prophylaxis during systemic antibiotic exposure to prevent *Clostridioides difficile* infection relapses. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2019;40(6):662-7.
2. Babar S, El Kurdi B, El Iskandarani M, Haddad I, Imam Z, Alomari M, et al. Oral vancomycin prophylaxis for the prevention of *Clostridium difficile* infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2020;41(11):1302-9.
3. Zhang K, Beckett P, Abouanaser S, Stankus V, Lee C, Smieja M. Prolonged oral vancomycin for secondary prophylaxis of relapsing *Clostridium difficile* infection. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;19(1):51.
4. Zacharioudakis IM, Zervou FN, Dubrovskaya Y, Phillips MS. Oral vancomycin prophylaxis against recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection: Efficacy and side effects in two hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2020;41(8):908-13.
5. Chang LL, Allegretti J, Skinner AM, Dubberke ER. Oral Vancomycin as Secondary Prophylaxis for Prevention of Recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* Infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388(7):654-6.
6. Johnson SW, Brown SV, Priest DH. Effectiveness of Oral Vancomycin for Prevention of Healthcare Facility-Onset *Clostridioides difficile* Infection in Targeted Patients During Systemic Antibiotic Exposure. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(5):1133-9.
7. Van Hise NW, Bryant AM, Hennessey EK, Crannage AJ, Khoury JA, Manian FA. Efficacy of Oral Vancomycin in Preventing Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Patients Treated With Systemic Antimicrobial Agents. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63(5):651-3.
8. Carignan A, Poulin S, Martin P, Labbé AC, Valiquette L, Al-Bachari H, et al. Efficacy of Secondary Prophylaxis With Vancomycin for Preventing Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infections. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2016;111(12):1834-40.
9. Splinter LE, Kerstenetzky L, Jorgenson MR, Descourouez JL, Leverson GE, Saddler CM, et al. Vancomycin Prophylaxis for Prevention of *Clostridium difficile* Infection Recurrence in Renal Transplant Patients. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2018;52(2):113-9.
10. Knight EM, Schiller DS, Fulman MK, Rastogi R. Long-Term Efficacy of Oral Vancomycin Prophylaxis for the Prevention of *Clostridium difficile* Recurrence. *J Pharm Pract*. 2020;33(5):633-9.
11. Morrisette T, Van Matre AG, Miller MA, Mueller SW, Bajrovic V, Abidi MZ, et al. Oral Vancomycin Prophylaxis as Secondary Prevention Against *Clostridioides difficile* Infection in the Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation and Hematologic Malignancy Population. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2019;25(10):2091-7.
12. Najjar-Debbiny R, Barnett-Griness O, Arbel A, Cohen S, Weber G, Amar M, et al. Secondary prophylaxis for *Clostridioides difficile* infection for patients on non-*C. difficile* antibiotics: a retrospective cohort study. *Microbes Infect*. 2024;26(5-6):105349.